





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,963	·	02/14/2001	Takashi Ide	1344.1057/JDH	1344.1057/JDH 9740	
21171	7590	06/14/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS &	HALSEY	/ LLP		HAVAN, THU THAO		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	ron, do	20005		2672 <b>7</b> DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004		
			• **•			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/781,963	IDE, TAKASHI					
Janes	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the latentry period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate ext  fee. The appropriate ext  the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1  A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'.  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p	period set forth in					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
$\cdot$	À	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · ——	and the Constantia	d d 4				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10.							

**\*** 

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/781,963



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Adler teaches an entirely of rows or columns when he discloses the cells being formed at intersections of the columns and rows (col.15, lines 46-67). He teaches identifications of cells in columns or rows and the information is calculated based on the indications.

MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600